

## Evaluation criteria for proposals to EC DG Research, FP6.

<b>IP</b>	<b>NoE</b>	<b>STREP</b>	<b>CA</b>	<b>SSA</b>
1. Relevance	1. Relevance	1. Relevance	1. Relevance	1. Relevance
2. Potential impact (e.g. reinforcing competitiveness, added value, optimal use of results)	2. Potential impact (e.g. spreading excellence, added value, durable structuring impact)	2. S&T excellence (e.g. innovation)	2. Quality of coordination (e.g. robust coordination mechanisms)	2. Quality of support action (e.g. high level of competence, innovative)
3. S&T excellence (e.g. innovation)	3. S&T excellence (e.g. critical mass of expertise)	3. Potential impact (e.g. reinforcing competitiveness, added value, optimal use of results)	3. Potential impact (e.g. added value, critical mass, optimal use of results)	3. Potential impact (e.g. optimal use of results)
4. Quality of consortium	4. Degree of integration and joint programming	4. Quality of consortium	4. Quality of consortium	4. Quality of management
5. Quality of management (e.g. management of intellectual property)	5. Organisation and management (e.g. secure framework, gender equality)	5. Quality of management (e.g. management of intellectual property)	5. Quality of management (e.g. management of intellectual property)	5. Mobilisation of resources
6. Mobilisation of resources (e.g. critical mass)		6. Mobilisation of resources (e.g. convincing integration)	6. Mobilisation of resources (e.g. convincing integration)	